	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/644,936	SHINOHARA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ranodhi Serrao	2141	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Ranodhi Serrao.	(3)		
(2) Colin D. Barnitz, Reg. No. 35,061.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 7 December 2007	Time: <u>10:30AM</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 26-46			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER		•	
Examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment with Primary's ap the application. Applicant agreed with further amendments to the		order to advance prosecution of	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
ala not result in resolution of all issues. A blief suffittially	by the examiner appears in t		
		-	
		•	
D . M			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)	